

June 7, 2010 (#593)

# Alan Watt "Cutting Through The Matrix" LIVE on RBN:

Poem Copyright Alan Watt June 7, 2010:

## Manipulative Masters, Sons of Perdition, Blame the Conquered For World's Condition:

"Those Who Vote Hope to Relieve the Strain,  
Newly-Elected Now Promise Years of Pain,  
And 'Baby Boomers' Given Cultural Dysfunction  
Are Blamed for Chaos and Financial Destruction,  
'So it's All Your Fault, You Lived too Well',  
Say the Financial Gatekeepers, Engineers of Hell,  
Doublespeak, Reverse Blame is Psychopaths' Dictum,  
Where Perpetrators Turn to Blame the Victim  
Whose Conditioned Confusion, Firewall-Mentality,  
Will Inhibit Him Reaching Factual Reality,  
Geopolitical, Psychological, Familial Disasters,  
Courtesy Financial, Educational, Media Masters"

© Alan Watt June 7, 2010

Poem & Dialogue Copyrighted Alan Watt - June 7, 2010 (Exempting Music,  
Literary Quotes, and Callers' Comments)

---

[cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com)

alternate sites:

[cuttingthroughthematrix.net](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.net) , [.us](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.us) , [.ca](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

[alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca](http://alanwattcuttingthroughthematrix.ca)

European site includes all audios & downloadable TRANSCRIPTS in European  
languages for print up:

[alanwattsentientsentinel.eu](http://alanwattsentientsentinel.eu)

mirror site:

[cuttingthrough.jenknness.com](http://cuttingthrough.jenknness.com)

---

## Information for purchasing Alan's books, CDs, DVDs and DONATIONS:

**Canada and America:** PayPal, Cash, personal checks &  
for the US, **INTERNATIONAL** postal money orders / for Canada, INTERNAL postal  
money orders  
(America: Postal Money orders - Stress the **INTERNATIONAL** pink one, not the green  
internal one.)

**Outside the Americas:** PayPal, Cash, Western Union and Money Gram  
(Money Gram is cheaper; even cheaper is a Money Gram check - in Canadian dollars:  
mail via the postal services worldwide.)

**PayPal Orders: USE THE [DONATE](#) BUTTON ON THE WEBSITE - AND -**  
Send a separate email along with the donation (list your order, name and address)

**Click the link below for your location (ordering info):**

[USA](#)

[Canada](#)

[Europe/Scandinavian](#)

[All Other Countries](#)

---

Hi folks. I am Alan Watt and this is Cutting Through The Matrix on June 7, 2010.

The newcomers should look into [cuttingthroughthematrix.com](http://cuttingthroughthematrix.com) web site. Bookmark all the other sites you'll see on that front page because sometimes there are problems with the .com site or the others and one way or another you'll always have a site to download the latest shows from if you bookmark them for future use. [Official sites listed above.] While you are there you can look into the items that I have for sale, the books, CDs and DVDs; purchase them because they are different from what you normally read. I show you the little tricks of the trade that are used down through the ages to the present time, in language and how things are presented to you by symbolism and how you are programmed by those who understand this. Mankind is very, very old indeed; far older than the nonsense that Charles Darwin was spewing out. The whole trick of managing whole populations, for a tiny minority, is very old. They understand the sciences of managing the herd and that's what we are. We have a planned society, a planned future and if you look at all the institutions involved in future planning, it's astronomical, and they are all, of course, tax-free, tax-exempt foundations, into charitable causes and so on. So we are run by private organizations and we have the guise of democracy to implement the plans of these private organizations who all work together along the same path to manage the big herd and to ensure that they live very well off of us, forever. Purchase the books and so on, or you can donate to me. That keeps me going. [Ordering and donation options listed above.] Cash is okay; at the moment they still will cash the devalued money of Europe, as Canada goes down too, because WE ARE ALL IN IT TOGETHER, mind you. It's all one big happy miserable family as we go down together. At the moment they will still accept cash so that is okay. For those who get the disks burned and passed to them, you can get in touch with me at [address above].

You know, I've read so many articles recently on the university studies and the foundational studies to do with DATA OVERLOAD. It's a process. It's an *intentional* process that comes out of having the internet. They really are so interested to see our habits, you see. ARE WE REALLY TAKING TO THIS DRUG ADDICTION OF THE INTERNET, as we are supposed to? Are the big boys playing their parts by giving us intriguing information and titillating information as well? If you notice, there is more and more mainstream coming across the internet all the time from the big regular newspapers and media outlets with the same kind of stuff, the trashy stuff, the bimbo stuff and the boob job stuff, and the affair stuff with the Hollywood characters. Lots of oohs and aahs about UFOs and all the stuff you've had in the rags for years; that's what they call the tabloid papers, the rags. Last week I talked about a very good documentary done on it, on the particular industry that GIVES you this information which you think is real. How they cook up the data, how they stage events, how they even get protest movements and hire the protesters, ANYTHING FOR NEWS. That was **StarSuckers**. I think it was BBC4. See it before you soak up this trash you are getting. I'll be back with more after this break.

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix talking about the media overload we are given. It doesn't matter which camp you want to put yourself into - I don't think it's a good idea to put yourself into camps anyway; they are generally set up for you - you are SUPPLIED with endless data and data overload and spins and so on. There are all kinds of camps *competing* to give out information and exposés about what's happening in the world. Some of it's not bad and some of it's got some good truth in it but there are always spins here and there too because that's how we are managed. We are managed.

I've always said, when you awake, when you truly awake into reality it's like coming out of a tunnel that is underground and you're coming up to the surface and see the field. You want to get across that field to the trees; you just know that's your goal to get into that forest. You've got to get across the field and there's all these signs pointing this way, and NO, IT'S THIS WAY, WE'VE GOT THE ANSWER. You go all over the place and around in circles and over to Mars and back again, all over the universe. Of course, a lot of folk get stuck in certain areas for a while, sometimes forever, and they never go beyond that. It's hard for them to understand that humans CAN PLAN the world and *order it in such a way*, through conniving and world meetings and big agendas. Even though they are all published, it's published data on lots of these international organizations and their meetings and their agendas. Even though it's out there and you know the players, it's still hard for people to imagine that people can actually do this. So they go off into aliens and different things and yet they never read their history or even ancient history or say, WELL HOW COME EGYPTIANS COULD RULE SUCH A VAST EMPIRE FOR SUCH AN AWFUL, AWFUL LONG TIME IN TODAY'S TERMS... WITHOUT LOSING CONTROL?

It's because they understand, they understood *at that time* THE SCIENCES OF THE MIND AND HOW TO CONTROL millions of peasants and keep them in utter ignorance, no education whatsoever EXCEPT the indoctrination into their particular religion and state of the world. It's very similar to India. The Brahmins kept India in the same state for a couple of *thousand* years, quite easily too. I often wondered about Britain in India, who were they working for? ...when you think about it. India was a lot of these little satrapies of different tribes and different areas and caliphs and so on. Britain really went in and had them all fighting each other until they came into UNIFICATION. That's what generally comes out of causing skirmishes and dissent among different tribes. You stir them up to fight each other and then you walk in to give them peace, you see, TO CREATE PEACE, just like the United Nations is doing today. Then they create one big empire. The British taxpayers funded all their railroads that are still used there yet. They gave them this system of control and the Brahmins were still in charge and they still are today. It's a science behind it, controlling the people. The cunningness that we can't think of comes naturally when you are born into families that discuss this kind of stuff at the dinner table as you grow up. You understand how they techniques are used; it's very simple.

Even when we have big players coming out, belonging to international organizations, working for a planned society, people who are proponents for it like Carroll Quigley. He was all for the world society, a socialist society which really means run by the capitalists on a social control basis right down to population numbers and what you need and whom should marry whom; the whole agenda that would go into **Brave New World** that Huxley talked about. There are no real differences between right and left whatsoever; they are all run by the same group at the top. That's why the agenda steamrolls forward regardless of the front man that's been put in. It's the people behind them who are more important because they generally have a history in academia and have published books with the agenda IN their books; where Presidents and Prime Ministers generally say nothing at all, they are just picked to be the front.

Quigley talked about TECHNOCRATS. Technocrats, he said, were PEOPLE WHO WORK BEHIND THE SCENES WHO ARE NOT ELECTED BY THE PUBLIC IN ANY POLITICAL CAPACITY. He said,

THEY HAD MORE POWER, **REAL POWER**, THAN ANY POLITICIAN OR PRIME MINISTER OR PRESIDENT BECAUSE THEY HAD THE BACKING OF THE PRIVATE BANKS. THEY HAD THE BACKING OF THE BIG INSTITUTIONS, THE CHARITABLE MULTI-TRILLIONAIRE INSTITUTIONS THAT REALLY RAN THE **NGOs**, THE NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANIZATIONS, AND THEY GOT THINGS DONE. THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO ANSWER TO THE PUBLIC. THEY DIDN'T HAVE TO GO THROUGH RED TAPE TO GET THINGS DONE. THEY JUST GOT IT DONE.

The last week I was mentioning about all the organizations for Planned Parenthood and so on, which really is abortion and sterilization. Margaret Sanger founded it and said that children WERE WEEDS AND THE BEST THING YOU COULD DO WAS KILL THEM. That's in her own writings by the way; I'm not slandering her. Obama, of course, has talked at Planned Parenthood. There are videos up on YouTube with him giving lectures about it. He loved Kinsey by the way; he thought Kinsey, the pervert, was a great guy. They all do. Kinsey was put out to destroy all of us and our society and our ability to bond to each other, which was your ability to **DEFEND** yourselves and live independently. If you destroy all of that, everyone is dependent on the **STATE**, the State is big daddy and **DADDY** then gives you the agenda, including sterilization. John Holdren, etc, of course, are all for that.

Let's pick another guy too who has been so important in creating the whole world agenda, at least his part of it, I should say. That was the little guy from Canada who I think is related to the banking family in the States too, Maurice Strong. Maurice Strong gave us the Earth Charter on behalf of his mentors, the Rockefellers. He's been in and out of the top positions, even headed The World Bank at the United Nations. He has been over to China working for a while on behalf of the United Nations setting up the trade system for China, the US, Britain and France and Germany. His aunt and relatives are buried in China because they were revolutionaries. They went across the world helping to bring in world communism and they are buried next to the great Mao Tse Tung.

These characters go down, intergenerationally through their families, with their purposes, and they know what they are up to and what they are striving for. They are the real technocrats, incredible power. They brought him over to Ontario to privatize the entire Ontario public electrical utility service; that was nuclear plants and everything. He closed some down and he said he would never get them fixed. He also said, **WE ARE GOING INTO AN AGE OF AUSTERITY**, back in the early 90s! He said, **EVENTUALLY WE'LL HAVE TO PUT IN BIG GENERATORS, diesel types, TO RUN THE ESSENTIAL BUSINESSES**, like governments and so on. Through the Earth Charter, he **KNEW** we were going down this path and they were going to take our energy from us and really make us pay. Here is an article about Maurice Strong.

## **The master of green socialism**

Maurice Strong has been central to reformulating socialism's grand narrative in radical environmental terms

By Peter Foster May 27, 2010 / [financialpost.com](http://financialpost.com)

There is nothing that aspiring global **governors** (*Alan: This is a good term. This guy is giving you the right stuff here but he doesn't make it in bold; he just hopes you think.*) love so much as recognition of their vast good intentions. (*A: And you are in the age of global governance and managers.*) Today, octogenarian citizen of the world Maurice Strong receives one of this year's Four Freedoms Awards, established by the Franklin and Eleanor

Roosevelt Institute and the Roosevelt Stichting in the Netherlands.

The Four Freedoms are those relating to speech and religion, and from want and fear, and are at the root of the United Nations charter. Mr. Strong's award comes under the "want" category. The citation notes his modest "role as the foremost **guardian** of the world's environment." (A: *So now you know who is in charge of the environment.*) Also his commitment to "social justice." Inconveniently, that latter commitment has recently come to the attention of Fox News' Glenn Beck, who is not the first to notice that "social justice" actually means **forced redistribution**, which means **socialism**, which has created more "want" than any system devised by man.

Mr. Strong has been central to reformulating socialism's grand narrative in radical environmental terms. He was the mastermind of the seminal UN environmental conferences at Stockholm in 1972 and Rio in 1992. (A: *He's got the next one coming up in 2012.*) He is a key promoter of the **subversive anti-market concepts of sustainable development** and **corporate social responsibility**. (A: *Meaning private corporations run the world.*) He is the godfather of climate-change hysteria. (A: *And that's true.*)

Mr. Beck fingers Mr. Strong as part of a cabal (exotically dubbed "Crime Inc.") that wants to take down the global economy en route to taking global control. (A: *He's right on; that's what it's all about. It's actually DONE. It's actually done. The whole takedown of the banking system, it took the collusion of the banks to do it. Of course they are all in on it too; they are part of it.*)

Mr. Strong has posted a brief article on his website ([www.mauricestrong.net](http://www.mauricestrong.net)) in which he responds to "misinformation, misinterpretation and outright lying by my critics." (A: *He didn't say 'enemies.' He says 'critics' but I'm sure he wanted to say enemies.*) The only critic he mentions is not Glenn Beck, but yours truly. (A: *The author of the article.*) Nor does he deal with the points raised by Mr. Beck, who, on his TV show, brought up an interview that Mr. Strong gave almost 20 years ago in which he opined on a novel he was thinking of writing. It would involve a cabal of concerned citizens taking control of the globe. Mr. Beck noted that Mr. Strong had not found time to write such a novel. Rather, he seemed to be living the "plot" himself. (A: *He did; he gave an interview about taking over the world. It was a novel that was rattling around in his head. He also mentioned that the world bankers would all be part of it, which of course they are.*)

In his website defence, Mr. Strong cites a "particularly dishonest statement by long-time critic, Peter Foster" (a description which I must admit gave me a warm glow). This statement was "to his own editor, citing a fictional account which was clearly stated to be an extreme scenario of what might happen by the year 2030 if we failed to act." (A: *Let's find out what he said when I come back with more after this break.*)

I'm Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix, reading an article about Maurice Strong. These people are so important because they don't get into the papers too often unless they are getting an award for some wonderful work of charity and so on across some place in the world. Really, they are such big movers

and shakers. The Earth Charter that really is to put us all into the depopulation agenda, was already rammed through in the 90s; every country signed on to it. It was a private organization that drafted this up, for our own good mind you, and they have the next one coming up shortly too, in about a year or so. Maurice Strong hopes to live long enough to attend; I'm sure he will because they do get life extension, these characters. Even his mentor at 94, Rockefeller, is still going around the planet giving talks about depopulation and there is his protégé Maurice.

Maurice was picked up as a teenager by Rockefeller. This is the sort of story they give you, the *official* one. He was groomed by him and then put in charge of oil companies and shown how the world really works. I'm sure they really did show him how the world really worked too. Then they set him to work as the great charitable character who is so concerned about world affairs and the need to depopulate very quickly - to get rid of the inferior types - and who should breed and who should not. That's all a part of it. Then they got all the world to sign on to their Earth Charter where we were debased to below the level of insects - because even they have rights and we don't - and, of course, Agenda 21, the agenda for the 21<sup>st</sup> century, at the United Nations. BIG CABALS run this world, all working together in different areas but they are all a pyramid structure taking orders from the top.

This writer goes on to say, after he was accused of dishonesty by Strong:

My "dishonesty" apparently consisted in quoting Mr. Strong **verbatim** from his autobiography, **Where on Earth are We Going?** My main point was not his ghastly, and ridiculous, "scenario," but his clearly stated opinion that the possibility of billions of people being wiped out by eco-apocalypse represented a "glimmer of hope" for civilization. Where my editor came in, I wrote, was that he didn't believe that anybody could write such a thing. But it's right there on page 22. (A: *Of Maurice Strong's own writing.*) For the record, here is the reference, which takes the form of a "report to shareholders" of Earth Inc.:

*(A: This is the fictional report in his novel, you see. Actually, I think it's more realistic to be honest with you. This is Maurice Strong, because he does work for Earth Inc.)*

"1 January 2031

Report to the Shareholders, Earth Inc.

[S]ome areas of our planet have been almost entirely depopulated. More people are dying, and dying younger — birth rates have dropped sharply, while infant mortality increases. At the end of the decade, the best guesstimate of total world population is some 4.5 billion, fewer than at the beginning of this century. And experts have predicted that the reduction of the human population may well continue to the point that those who survive may not number more than the 1.61 billion people who inhabited earth at the beginning of the 20th century. A consequence, yes, of death and destruction — but in the end **a glimmer of hope** for the future of **our** species (A: *Hmmm...*) and its potential for regeneration." (A: *Interesting, eh? This guy's got so much power... and we've all signed treaties that he's helped to draft up, giving up ALL our rights away, rights over ourselves and our own reproduction by the way, and our future and our food supply. He's telling you*

*what their agenda is right here.)*

Like Lewis Carroll's Humpty Dumpty, Mr. Strong seems to believe that words should mean whatever he wants them to mean. (A: *That's when he was criticized about it, you see.*) Orwell pointed out that the totalitarian instinct for obfuscation naturally seeks to reverse meaning (War is peace, freedom is slavery, ignorance is strength). And in his self-exoneration, Mr. Strong seeks to squirm around his own frequent assertions that he is a socialist. What he really believes in is "responsible capitalism." (A: *That means total control of everybody's lives.*) He writhes that his call for modifications of "ballot box democracy" has been woefully misconstrued.

Not.

In fact, Mr. Strong has for decades masterminded a strategy to outflank democracy both from above — via UN organizations — (A: *They are all private, by the way.*) and from below, through well-funded radical NGOs that are sold as the voice of "civil society." When Mr. Strong avers that he wants "more, not less, democracy," what he means is more control by NGOs. (A: *Which they run, of course, from their foundations.*) In a recent article, he wrote that since governments might not like his proposals for a "new economic **paradigm**," then political priority had to be given to "the organizations and people participating in this dialogue." That is, the kind of organizations he allowed into Rio to browbeat delegates. (A: *It goes on and on and on.*)

These people ARE up there. They've been out there for an awful, awful long time and before Strong they had other ones out there because there have been people working for CENTURIES on this agenda, to bring in the controlled society. In other words, to make sure that everyone lives the way that they SHOULD live, according to those who are the control freaks above them. That's what it's all about, the planned society.

Even if you read the exchange of letters between Huxley and Orwell, they both knew, because they knew a lot of these elitists in their own day, and they knew a real history which is omitted from the school books that we are taught with. They knew the real history because they came from the upper elite and they mixed with the people who sat and talked about these things at their dinner tables. They discussed, would it be a totalitarian regime, a dictator style to start with, or would it be more along the way of **Brave New World**. What you've got is BOTH, for this particular time. I'll be back with more after this break.

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix. After reading that article about Maurice Strong and his plans for massive depopulation and starvation and everything that would come into play to bring down to the magic number the population that he wanted and his other masters of course, and they'd rule the planet in a scientifically proper way, from cradle to grave. Well, they've already done a lot of it already, you see. They had to destroy the family unit. That was a PRIME mandate a long time ago. That's why you got the 60s, the swinging 60s as they called it, and the drugs and the so-called sexual revolution.

You have to go into the predecessors of Strong to find out that they were writing

about that and *trying to get it off the ground* in the late 1800s, like HG Wells. Some of the first books he wrote and novels he wrote on behalf of his masters was all to promote sexual freedoms. Free Love, he called it, in the late 1800s. They recycled it in the 1920s, the roaring 20s, and then they brought it back in the 60s, with better drugs and television to help them out, and music and the big media industry that was all controlled by the same people, you see. It still is.

They've been very successful. Everything has been turned upside-down. Everybody's viewpoints have been altered. I just watched a good video on the Kinsey Institute. Kinsey was a sexual pervert himself. All his statistics and studies were fudged. He used DEVIANTS to do the tests themselves. He was into little boys; he preferred them. He also had sex with the guys who worked with him; he brought them all together and says, WE SHOULD ALL HAVE SEX WITH EACH OTHER. This is all on record, by the way. Out of that came Heffner. Heffner was a devotee, working, I'm sure, in league with these guys, all coming out at the same time, to give you Playboy and so on, into literally WEAPONIZE NATURAL TENDENCIES until they became obsessions. That tied in, too, with the sexual education to younger and younger children until we've even had top people saying they should teach them to masturbate IN school at the age of 6 and 7. I've read the articles from the United Nations late year about that. So this goes on and on and on.

You think, you think that society is just getting more and more open and ya-da, ya-da, ya, and there is so much crime and so on. *But that's got nothing to do with all of the things that I've just been discussing.* It's all tied in together. Remember, the last article, Maurice Strong, depopulation, people dying off through starvation, sterility, all that stuff. You've got John Holdren in as the Science Czar and a whole bunch of them appointed behind Obama because Obama is just a front man. This is a continuation of the agenda. Here is a good article to show you how they are continuing the agenda.

We've had the articles recently about suicide, the death clinic in Switzerland that's had a lot of exposure; it was found to be dumping the urns - they take money for it of course after they cremate the people. They were dumping the urns in a lake. Divers found hundreds of them, when they told them they were disposing them in a proper fashion. They are just getting some employees to come out with a rowboat and dump them all. Anyway, they are also going into killing people who have nothing wrong with them physically, they've just got a bit of depression, etc and they are willing to pay to be bumped off... hygienically. Hitler would be... he'd love that, "hygienic," you know, hygienic cleansing. It's all here, but it's AUTHORIZED, you see, from the top, under a different guise to HELP people who are kind of miserable.

That also came through in the talks that were given at Berkeley by Aldous Huxley. He said, MOST PEOPLE, MOST PEOPLE ARE RATHER UNHAPPY - he didn't say unhappy, those guys got a strange language, unheppy... - THEY ARE NOT REALLY PLEASED WITH LIFE AND IT'S NOT TOO GOOD TO THEM. Well for most folk down below him it was a pretty miserable experience going through war after war and created depressions and getting stuffed together in cities, factory towns, as you were thrown off the land. It doesn't make you terribly joyful. That was all part of the plan as well. Here is an article to, again, bolster that IDEA, to get YOU *all talking about it and thinking about it*. I'm sure it will be on talk shows and Oprah and all that kind of stuff... to make sure you get the message.



## Should This Be the Last Generation?

(A: Now, this is an intentional article to stir up the controversy.)

nytimes.com / June 6, 2010 / By PETER SINGER

Have you ever thought about whether to have a child? (A: *Have you though about that...*) If so, what factors entered into your decision? Was it whether having children would be good for you, your partner and others close to the possible child, such as children you may already have, or perhaps your parents? For most people contemplating reproduction, those are the dominant questions. Some may also think about the desirability of adding to the strain that the nearly seven billion people already here are putting on our planet's environment. But very few ask whether coming into existence is a good thing for the child itself. Most of those who consider that question probably do so because they have some reason to fear that the child's life would be especially difficult — for example, if they have a family history of a devastating illness, (A: *Remember, they want to go into telling you who breeds and who doesn't. This is your little psychological article that's churned out by the pros at the top.*) physical or mental, that cannot yet be detected prenatally.

All this suggests that we think it is wrong to bring into the world a child whose prospects for a happy, healthy life are poor, but we don't usually think the fact that a child is likely to have a happy, healthy life is a reason for bringing the child into existence. This has come to be known among philosophers as "the asymmetry" and it is not easy to justify. But rather than go into the explanations usually proffered — and why they fail — I want to raise a related problem. How good does life have to be, to make it reasonable to bring a child into the world? Is the standard of life experienced by most people in developed nations today good enough to make this decision unproblematic, in the absence of specific knowledge that the child will have a severe genetic disease or other problem? (A: *That's a reinforcement. They always do a reinforcement when they are trying to get KEY things into your brain.*)

If there were to be no future generations, there would be nothing for us to feel to guilty about. Is there anything wrong with this scenario?

The 19th-century German philosopher Arthur Schopenhauer held that even the best life possible for humans is one in which we strive for ends that, once achieved, bring only fleeting satisfaction. (A: *Well maybe that's how Schopenhauer felt it himself but it doesn't mean that we all feel it the same way, so why even quote him?*) New desires then lead us on to further futile struggle and the cycle repeats itself. (A: *So life, you see, sucks. That's what they are trying to tell you. Life really sucks and most people are unhnheppy, as Mr Huxley would have said. Really, they are telling you not to have children, especially ones who might - might, mind you - they might not - have genetic problems, you see.*)

There are lots of these articles getting churned out there. You know where they come from, a lot of them? A lot of them are given, and you see this, again, in **StarSuckers**, the documentary on HOW THE MEDIA MANIPULATES YOUR

WHOLE EXISTENCE AND YOUR REALITY. They show you that public relations companies, the big marketing companies that work on behalf of guys like Maurice Strong's organizations and so on, give out handouts to the media who simply put it in VERBATIM and put another journalist's name on it because the journalists today *don't* go out and look for stories. And they are overworked; they cut back lots of them years ago and they don't do investigative research. They are so happy to dump these things right in your newspapers and of course, it's all to manipulate your mind. Big marketing companies are in charge and they are THE EXPERTS AT MIND MANIPULATION. They are in charge of giving you what you think are the topics you are going to prattle on about. They are *imbedded* with little things that go into your mind, little particular weaponized quotes and so on that are meant to stick there and you will come to opinions thinking they are yours and you'll have little chats about it. Remember what Brzezinski said in **Between Two Ages**, his book? THAT SHORTLY THE PUBLIC WILL BE UNABLE TO THINK OR REASON FOR THEMSELVES; THEY WILL ONLY BE ABLE TO REPEAT WHAT THEY GET FROM THE MAINSTREAM MEDIA THE DAY BEFORE AND THEY WILL CHAT ABOUT IT THE NEXT DAY AMONGST THEMSELVES. That's been going on all my life.

This stuff is put out for you. It's weaponized. The media is weaponized; it always has been weaponized. Experts put it together using particular terms, ways of streaming things together, even the thought streaming so you will follow that stream, and bingo, it's implanted, embedded in your brain and it becomes your opinion and you don't know why. You couldn't even discuss why you came to it; you just take them and run with them. You'll even get hostile and defend them if someone questions you.

The world goes on as always. You know, left wing, right wing. Remember, on the left wing and right wing paradigm you've always got hiding the body of the bird, a shield. What do you think the shield is there for? Because it's the body, the BODY that runs the show and it's always hidden behind the shield. Con games left and right, left and right, the paradigm, the dialectic. As I said before, we vote the people OUT of office because we are so sick of them and then you bring in the guy that's promised you the newest hope, you see, and he goes on like the previous one. And no wonder, it's the same bunch behind them, you see. I'm talking about Obama, for instance. It's the same bunch, the same in Britain as well. Here is an article on...

## **U.S. 'secret war' expands globally as Special Operations forces take larger role**

By Karen DeYoung and Greg Jaffe / [washingtonpost.com](http://washingtonpost.com) / Friday, June 4, 2010

Beneath its commitment to soft-spoken diplomacy and beyond the combat zones of Afghanistan and Iraq, the Obama administration has significantly expanded a largely secret U.S. war against al-Qaeda and **other radical groups**, (A: *The important part is 'other radical groups.'* Who defines what's radical? Have you ever wondered about that?) according to senior military and administration officials.

Special Operations forces have grown both in number and budget, and are deployed in **75 countries**, (A: *75 countries!*) compared with about 60 at the beginning of last year. In addition to units that have spent years in the

Philippines and Colombia, teams are operating in Yemen and elsewhere in the Middle East, Africa and Central Asia.

Commanders are developing plans for increasing the use of such forces in Somalia, where a Special Operations raid last year killed the alleged head of al-Qaeda in East Africa. (A: *They've killed these guys about 10 times over. They always give us the same leaders killed again. Same with their drone attacks.*) Plans exist for preemptive or retaliatory strikes in numerous places around the world, meant to be put into action when a plot has been identified, or after an attack linked to a specific group.

The surge in Special Operations deployments, along with intensified CIA drone attacks in western Pakistan, is the other side of the national security doctrine of global engagement and domestic values President Obama released last week.

One advantage of using "secret" forces for such missions is that they rarely discuss their operations in public. (A: *[Alan laughing.] No kidding. I like the intellect that writes these.*) For a Democratic president such as Obama, who is criticized from either side of the political spectrum for too much or too little aggression, the unacknowledged CIA drone attacks in Pakistan, along with unilateral U.S. raids in Somalia and joint operations in Yemen, provide politically useful tools. (A: *Well, that's what the U.S. is. It's a political tool. That's what the whole function of the U.S. is, you know; a politically useful tool. Once it's finished, it will be rung out like a sponge, all torn and shredded and nothing to ring out any more and then it's dumped in the garbage. I'm not kidding about that... I'M NOT KIDDING ABOUT THAT.*)

Obama, one senior military official said, has allowed "things that the previous administration did not." (A: *Change is good, eh? Change is good. Yeah, we are going to have more battles, more wars, more Special Forces doing assassinations across the planet. They really should say 'more is good' isn't it... more is good because that's really what we are talking about here. More is definitely good... according to them.*)

You know, the US is fantastic, and Britain. The people who fought in wars are awful good for fighting other people's wars for them but they never stand up for themselves. You ever wondered about that? They can get soaked and screwed for taxes after taxes, lose their houses and everything and still supply taxes for the military for other people's ends. But they will never stand up and home and say enough is enough. They lose their houses and everything else and the whole society is completely dysfunctional, as they are destroyed from within, and they will go off yet and fight other people's battles and pay for it as well. Very useful; I tell you, they are very special that way. Britain is already kaput. It's finished. None of these countries could ever pay off any kind of debt that they have. None of it. They could never pay it off... unless they tore it up, which of course would be the logical thing to do. They won't do that because they are run, they are run by the ones who run the money. That's as simple as that.

Getting back to the cut-down the children stuff, there is an article here from Sky News about...

# Britain's Unofficial One Child Policy

Colin Brazier / sky.com / May 10, 2010

*(A: I should also mention that Britain, Canada and Australia are teaching teachers, in these packages that they get, these tool packages, you know, special tools, tool kits they call them. It said, TO BE ON THE LOOK OUT FOR ABUSED CHILDREN and they've said that LARGE FAMILIES ARE MORE PRONE TO ABUSE. That's the conclusion they've come to because they really don't like those with large families. Anyone with two children, over two children, is considered a large family. Actually, having two children is getting there already, according to them. In Australia they've already put in the policies - I don't know if they've actually done it yet - to start to tax the people extra for their carbon output for that child. IT'S A BURDEN ON SOCIETY, as of course, they open the floodgates even wider and wider for immigration. Ha! [Alan laughing.] The same con is being pulled everywhere isn't it? So this article, it says...)*

Yvette Garside wanted to take her children for a swim. But pool attendants in Bolton insisted that Ryan and Jordanna, aged five and two respectively, each had to be accompanied by an adult.

If only Ms Garside, a lone-parent, *(A: A lone parent of course, because that is what Britain is comprised of.)* had brought along an only-child. The nanny-state has rehabilitated single mothers, like her, and is now bent on engineering the same outcome for single children.

It will amount to a remarkable turnaround. In 1896 Stanley Hall, founder of the American Journal of Psychology, concluded that "being an only child is a disease in itself". *(A: See, they were already working on this back then. You've got to understand this. You've got to understand that this has been going on for an awful long time, this whole agenda and the world agenda and the geo-political agenda. It's been the same for over 100 years.)*

Since then scores of academic studies have reinforced the cruel stereotype of singletons *(A: I like that... singletons...)* as spoiled misfits, deprived of siblings and the soft-skills which - often abrasively - they impart; empathy, conflict-resolution, and gratification deferment, to name but three. *(A: So it's getting very psychological here.)*

But demography means that such evidence has been trumped by expedience. On current trends one-child families will be Britain's dominant family unit by 2020. *(A: It already is.)* And the relative abundance of only-children has created a demand for reassurance from their parents. Apologists have been quick to respond. *(A: So they go on to this whole thing about single-parent families and that's the way of the future and how the state, really, is now the daddy and supplies all the rules and regulations, and the funding, and so on and so on and so on. Really, that's what it's all about. But that's the way of the world now, isn't it?)*

There are lots and lots of these articles out, all to reinforce that the new normalcy, as even the new normalcy is being altered into the next normalcy. I'll be back with more after this break.

This is Alan Watt and we're Cutting Through The Matrix and talking about the big agenda and how things follow on. Really, part one, part two, part three, part four... all going along in a planned direction that you can read about from the late 1800s by guys like HG Wells who were prattling on about this back then. Pretty well the same stuff as Maurice Strong and the destruction of society, the dissolution of the family unit and so on. It confuses folk. They think of Karl Marx, WELL, ISN'T THAT WHAT THE COMMUNISTS WANT? Well, it's all the same thing folks. The capitalists ran both sides. Of course they do. They want an ordered society. They want to be in control forever with their own families in the planned society, the planned world society.

That article I read about 'is life really worth living,' should you really bring a child in, and how it's OUR FAULT because we swallow all the advertising and stuff and the happy stuff that's all pushed to us. It's our fault for doing so; that's the new spin, by the way, on everything. IT'S YOUR FAULT. YOU KNOW, THE BABY BOOMERS WERE THE ONES THAT GOT US INTO DEBT WITH THEIR GREED. THAT'S WHAT IT WAS, ALL THAT PERSONAL SPENDING AND STUFF. It's got nothing to do with the bankers, or the agenda, or marketing, or Bernays, or anything like that. No, no. So NOW YOU'VE GOT TO SUFFER; THEY'VE GOT TO CUT YOUR PENSIONS AND EVERYTHING; IT'S YOUR FAULT THAT YOU DID ALL THAT, YOU KNOW. YEAH. YOU HAD ALL THE WARS AND ALL THAT STUFF. YEAH. Here is an article...

### **Cameron: 'Years of pain ahead'**

Jonathan Oliver, Political Editor / From The Sunday Times June 6, 2010 / [timesonline.co.uk](http://timesonline.co.uk)

*(A: That's the new Prime Minister in Britain who's quite a joker too. You've got to see the stuff up on YouTube and who he's promised his allegiance to and all the rest of it, to get the money that is, to run. And we are all seeing it happening today. It's old stuff.)*

DAVID CAMERON has warned that the economy is in a far worse state than previously thought and signalled that Britain faces **years of "pain"** as the spending axe falls.

See, it was all your fault folks. Yeah. It was all your fault. Nothing to do with these creeps in government with their social agendas bringing society down until they are destroyed and then reformulating it. Nothing to do with the Maurice Strong's who say, OH YEAH, FAMINE AND PLAGUE AND DISEASE WILL KILL THEM OFF, BUT OUR SPECIES WILL GO ON. Nothing to do with any of that, of course, it just *happens* to be unfolding this way at this present time through PURE COINCIDENCE, for those that love coincidence theories. Yep. That's all. So it's your fault, you see, and so you've got YEARS OF PAIN AS THE SPENDING AX FALLS.

See, the UK has had years of pain as far as I can remember, because they were used for well over 150 years to fight the wars across the planet, for a small clique at the top who ended up dominating it. They were rung dry and are still rung dry. They are still paying off the debt from World War II! They said they only paid off the debt from World War I in the late 1990s. Of course you will never pay it all off. It's compound interest; it's not meant to be paid off. The masters of money run the world with compound interest and stuff like that. But it's all your fault. It's all your fault, you see.

So you are going to suffer now for years and years as the spending ax falls. They

are cutting billions off the health service, which they gave you in the first place and made sure there was no alternative system to use... unless you had lots and lots and lots of dough. But that falls right in with the depopulation, doesn't it? They've still got rationing to bring in yet too. They are talking about rationing across the world. Right on queue with the United Nations Department of Agriculture who said they would EVENTUALLY DISTRIBUTE THE WHOLE WORLD'S FOOD SUPPLY TO CERTAIN REGIONS AND YOU'D BETTER BRING DOWN YOUR POPULATIONS BECAUSE THEY WOULD NOT INCREASE YOUR RATIONS. It's all coincidence isn't it?

From Hamish and myself from Ontario, Canada, it's good night and may your God or your Gods GO with you.

## **Topics of show covered in following links:**

[Maurice Strong-The Master Behind Green Socialism](#)

[The Kinsey Syndrome video](#)

[Kinsey's Paedophiles video](#)

[Should This be The Last Generation-Propaganda to Re-Evaluate Human Life](#)

[US Expands "Secret" War Globally Using Special Forces](#)

[Unofficial yet Promoted One-Child Policy](#)

[Years of Pain Ahead-Cameron UK](#)

Transcribed by Diana

---

## **Alan's Materials Available for Purchase and Ordering Information:**

- |                     |   |   |
|---------------------|---|---|
| <b><u>BOOKS</u></b> | "Cutting Through" & "Waiting for the Miracle....."<br>Volumes 1, 2, 3 | Also available in Spanish or Portuguese translation:<br>"Esperando el Milagro....." ( <a href="#"><u>Español</u></a> ) & "Esperando um Milagre....." ( <a href="#"><u>Português</u></a> ) |
|                     | Ancient Religions<br>and History MP3                                  |   |
| <b><u>CDs</u></b>   | CDs:<br>Part 1 (1998) and<br>Part 2 (1998-2000)                       | & Blurbs and 'Cutting Through the Matrix'<br>Shows on MP3 CDs (Up to 50 Hours per Disc)   |
| <b><u>DVDs</u></b>  | "Reality Check Part 1"<br>Esoterica and ...TIME"                      | & "Reality Check Part 2 - Wisdom,   |